

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/558,923	04/26/2000	John Albert Kembel	10351-0006	1656	
43785 75	590 12/05/2006		EXAM	INER	
JONATHAN A. SMALL JAS IP CONSULTING 343 SECOND STREET SUITE F			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CHAU T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
LOS ALTOS,	CA 94022		DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/558,923	KEMBEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chau Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period: Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.5  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 51-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 51-56 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/558,923

Art Unit: 2176

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Amendment, received on 09/18/2006, has been entered. Claims 51-56 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Furst, U.S. Patent No. 6,297,819.
- 4. As to independent claim 51, Furst discloses in a sever system, a method of providing Internet content to a user of a computing device, comprising:

receiving a request from a computing device (col. 4, lines 22-62: the user launches or requests the web browser from the user computer 120;

in response to the request, retrieving information comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/558,923

Art Unit: 2176

instructions usable by the computing device to present a frame, with associated controls, specifically designed to display certain Internet content independent of a web browser program (col. 4, line 57 – col. 5, line 11: when the users request the web browser, the client 124 is initiated, the client 124 is a thin shell for an embedded web browser, whose function is to display web pages sent by the System or by component application tools (frames), the System and its component tools operate to create web pages that parallel or shadow actual web pages, which exist outside and independent of the System and its tool; Furst also discloses in col. 11, lines 19-28: application tools (frames) when opened, client tool window appears with controls allowing the user to add the context. Furst does disclose that the elements can take other forms, such as free-form graphics without enclosing boxes or window decorations and that the tool windows are updated by the tools with the information generated by the application programs (col. 8, lines 15-25 and 40-45); and

an address from which said certain web content can be retrieved; and transmitting the information to the computing device (col. 7, lines 8-col. 8, line 4: the application tools will provide a reaction such as displayable output in HTML or DHTML and forward it to the user's client for display; the application tools (frames) includes elements such as a parallel page, profile, my-subscriptions page which provide URL links (col. 9, lines 40-47 and col. 10, lines 37-41).

- 5. As to dependent claim 52, Furst discloses wherein at least a portion of the information further comprises instructions for invoking a first process, resident on said computing device when invoked, the results of which being capable of display within the frame (col. 11, lines 55-64: when the tool is activated from the icon bar in a context that includes a fill-in web-based form, the tool autofills form with the information).
- 6. As to dependent claim 53, Furst discloses wherein at least a portion of the information defines a functionality and an appearance of the frame within which said certain web content may be presented (col. 9, line 9 col. 12, line 65: each application tool has certain appearance shown by its tool user interface window which is defined by a web page or a wide variety of forms).
- 7. As to dependent claim 54, Furst discloses wherein the frame is one of a family of such frames, the family having certain common features and certain unique features, and the information further comprises those features unique to the frame (col. 9, line 9 col. 12, line 65: each application tool has its own features such as discussion tool has a comments area for the bill board, navigation buttons and other controls, school application tool has window appears with controls allowing the user to add the context, etc...)
- 8. As to dependent claim 55, Furst discloses wherein the information further comprises instructions for creating an instance of the common features within and

associated with the frame (col. 9, line 9 - col. 12, line 65: school application tool has window appears with controls allowing the user to add the context).

9. As to dependent claim 56, Furst discloses wherein each member of the family of such frames has associated therewith certain functionality, and wherein the information further comprises instructions usable by the computing device to invoke a second process capable of coordinating the functionality of the frames (col. 12, lines 45-55: web rings application tool allow the user to add web site to a collection of links, and the user can view the collections of links on a client tool window and use those links to browse to the sites themselves, and when the user opens such a link, the client causes a new web browser window to opened to display the site).

## Response to Arguments

In the remarks, Applicant(s) argued in substance that

A) The prior art does not teach or explain how a server receives a request from a user.

In reply to argument A, applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., <u>how</u> a <u>server</u> receives a request from a user) are not recited in the rejected claim 51. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 09/558,923

Art Unit: 2176

In this case, claim 51 claimed, "receiving a request from a computer device". Furst disclose in col. 4, lines 22-62: the user launches or requests the web browser from the user computer 120.

B) The prior art does not provide the information for rendering the display together with an address for content.

In reply to argument B, again, the limitation "the information for rendering the display together with an address for content" is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In this case, claim 51 claimed, "an address from which said certain web content can be retrieved". Furst discloses in col. 7, lines 8-col. 8, line 4: the application tools will provide a reaction such as displayable output in HTML or DHTML and forward it to the user's client for display; the application tools (frames) includes elements such as a parallel page, profile, my-subscriptions page which provide URL links (address) (col. 9, lines 40-47 and col. 10, lines 37-41).

C) The prior art does not teach or suggest features of claim 52.

In reply to argument C, Furst discloses in col. 11, lines 55-64: when the tool is activated (invoking a first process) from the icon bar in a context that includes a fill-in

Application/Control Number: 09/558,923 Page 7

Art Unit: 2176

web-based form, the tool autofills form with the information (the result which being capable of display within the frame).

10. Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 09/18/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please see the rejection and response to arguments above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4092. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am – 5:30 pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. On July 15, 2005, the Central Facsimile (FAX) Number will change from 703-872-9306 to 571-273-8300.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/558,923

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176

Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2100